# Peer review application template\*

\*Please note that this is a template for your own internal use. You need to fill in and **submit your application using the online form** on the [peer review page](https://www.interregeurope.eu/peer-review/submit) of the Interreg Europe website.

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Your details** |
| *Contact information will be filled out automatically and comes from your community profile. You can edit it by visiting your user dashboard.* |
| **Your details** | Name |  |
| Email |  |
| Contact number |  |
| **Your organisation** | Organisation name |  |
| Country, region |  |
| Website link |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Your policy** |
| *Please tell us more about the policy the peer review should address.Note: only authorities directly responsible for the addressed policy may request a peer review.* |
| **Name of your Structural Funds programme or local/regional development policy.** | Please indicate the name of the policy to be addressed by the peer review: |
|  |
| **For peer reviews addressing Structural Funds programmes, indicate the priority axis of your programme.**  | Please specify the priority axis to be addressed by the peer review: |
|  |
| **Related priority axis of the Interreg Europe Programme** | [ ]  Smart [ ]  Green [ ]  Connected | [ ]  Social [ ]  Citizens [ ]  Governance |

|  |
| --- |
| **3. Your need and motivation**  |
| *Please specify the issue for which you would like get advice from other European regions.**Please explain the issue you are facing for which you need input from other regions. Please be as detailed as possible on the nature of this issue (e.g. background and context, exact nature of the difficulties encountered, stakeholder concerned, possible solutions tested so far):* |
|  |

[max. 1,500 characters]

|  |
| --- |
| **4. Your motivation to team up with other regions** |
| *Please specify the gains you expect from the peer review and the expertise you need.**Please clarify the results you expect from the peer review. How will the input from other regions help improve the implementation of your policy (potential policy improvements, envisaged follow-up actions)? Please also specify the kind expertise you are looking for.* *If you are a partner in an Interreg Europe project, please explain what the added value of a peer review is compared to the exchange of experience you already benefit from within the partnership of your project.* |
|  |

[max. 4,000 characters]

|  |
| --- |
| **5. Your suggestions for potential peers (optional)** |
| *Should you wish to propose potential peers for other regions in Europe, please indicate their contact details and expertise below.**NB: In general, please note that an onsite peer review supported by the Policy Learning Platform should involve peers from at least two programme partner states (EU27 + Norway and Switzerland), other than your host country.* |
| **Peer** **suggestion I** | Name of the peer |  |
| Organisation |  |
| Operational Programme/ policy responsibility |  |
| Region, country |  |
| Thematic expertise for the peer review (in bullet points): |
|  |
| **Peer** **suggestion II** | Name of the peer |  |
| Organisation |  |
| Operational Programme/ policy responsibility |  |
| Region, country |  |
| Thematic expertise for the peer review (in bullet points): |
|  |
| **Peer** **suggestion III** | Name of the peer |  |
| Organisation |  |
| Operational Programme/ policy responsibility |  |
| Region, country |  |
| Thematic expertise for the peer review (in bullet points): |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **6. Your preferred timeframe for the peer review (optional)**  |
| *Should you have any specific preference for the timing of the peer review, for the two-day onsite meeting in your region, please let us know below.**Preferred timeframe, in particular for the two-day onsite meeting, month, week(s) or date(s) to be indicated:* |
|  |

[x]  In case my organisation is partner in an approved Interreg Europe project, I confirm that the topic of the peer review request is different from the issue addressed by this project and, therefore, that the two initiatives do not overlap.